



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_32962
Title of the Manuscript:	Rationale of Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids Supplementation in the Frame of the Magnocellular Theory of Dyslexia
Type of the Article	Minireview paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In the key-words dyslexia must be written as first word in an alphabetically order. In page 5 line 109 what do resemble parvocells mean?	- In the key-words list the word "dyslexia" has been moved as first as suggested by the reviewer -Error corrected
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Does this theory of PUFA supplementation have a stronger rationale and evidence-based support? Apart from the Oxford-Durham study are there other randomized clinical trials (RCTs) on this topic?	As explained in the paper, the theory of PUFA supplementation in dyslexics has some evidence-based support as well as a rationale, but it needs to be further investigated. As reminded by Richardson and Phil [ref. 7] and reported in the paper at lines 163-165: "randomized controlled trials aimed at confirming the real benefit of these substances and at establishing what is the best formula and dosage are difficult to carry out [lines 163-165]". In 2012 a paper by Tan, Ho, and Teh published on the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews concluded that there are not RCTs satisfying the criteria to be included in a systematic review. As far as I know, no RCT on this topic has been published since then [Tan ML, Ho JJ, Teh KH. (2012). Polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) for children with specific learning disorders. Cochrane Database Syst Rev.2012]